

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 67530

Title: Survival effect of probiotics in a rat model of colorectal cancer treated with capecitabine

Reviewer's code: 05524138

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Kazakhstan

Author's Country/Territory: Argentina

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-27 17:30

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-27 17:40

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Why did you take 50 rats for the experiment, and not 100?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 67530

Title: Survival effect of probiotics in a rat model of colorectal cancer treated with capecitabine

Reviewer's code: 05469117

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Chief Physician, Deputy Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Argentina

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-28 17:12

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-03 17:16

Review time: 5 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1.This is a very good basic research paper with fluent language. 2.From page 10, we know that, "animals received 1,2-DMH at dose of 20 mg/kg body weight intramuscularly weekly for 8 weeks to achieve colorectal cancer in 100% of animals at 20 weeks(140days) from begun the induction."Why did the DMH + Capecitabine group receive Capecitabine at 146 days from begun the induction(see page13, Figure 1)? Does the 6-day delay affect the treatment outcome? 3.Can you further explore the relationship between intervention factors and colorectal tumor location?