

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 79634

Title: Rare massive hepatic hemangioblastoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02968018 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-30 20:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-04 19:19

Review time: 4 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Expand introduction (see file) Hemangioblastomas are rare benign tumors, accounting for 1–2% of all central nervous system tumors[1,2]. The cerebellum is the most common location, followed by the spinal cord and brainstem[3], but hemangioblastomas can also occur in the peripheral nervous system, adrenal gland, and liver[4]. Hemangioblastomas are present in 25–30% of patients with von Hippel-Lindau disease (VHLD), and in these cases, retinal hemangioblastomas and endolymphatic sac tumors may also be detected Mourão JLV, Borella LFM, Duarte JA, et al. Imaging manifestations of von Hippel-Lindau disease: an illustrated guide focusing on the central nervous system. Radiol Bras. 2022;55:188–92. Imaging findings What about diffusion weighted images? Typically, hemangioblastomas facilitate diffusion. There were flow voids on T2 weighted images? Please, expand the description. What was the first diagnosis considered? Did you use gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI? It is widely used to detect and characterize various hepatic nodules. The high contrast generated by gadoxetic acid between focal hepatic lesions and the background parenchyma in the hepatobiliary phase (HBP) helps in differentiating early HCC from benign nodules. In discussion: Spinal cord? Retina? Abdominal visceral involvement of VHL usually includes simple hepatic, renal, and pancreatic cysts or tumors of the kidney or pancreas and pheochromocytoma. Details of the contrast used in the discussion. Hepatic lesions in VHL have been previously reported as adenoma, and hemangioma. What was your preoperatory diagnosis of the case? It is interesting to discuss...What lessons did you have with the case? It is important to emphasizes. Please include a table with characteristics of all reported hepatic hemangioblastomas in the literature. conclusion, add that: Other common features are the presence of flow voids on T2 WI



and components which facilitate diffusion (hemangioblastoma is the only tumor that can facilitate diffusion which is a key role to the diagnosis).



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 79634

Title: Rare massive hepatic hemangioblastoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05213310 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-13 10:37

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-13 11:03

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Please rewrite the paper's title to better explain the study's purpose. 2. The phrase "literature review" should be removed from the paper's title. 3. Rewrite the study abstract in a concise and attractive manner. 4. The study's objective should be stated in the final paragraph of the introduction. 5. Considering the use of modern references beginning at least in 2015, with any references after this year being replaced with newer references. 6. Make the final paragraph of the discussion focus on the current case study's limitations, strengths, and future directions in medical imaging findings of hepatic hemangioblastoma. 7. Rewrite the conclusion section once more. 8. Some typos must be corrected. best wishes,



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 79634

Title: Rare massive hepatic hemangioblastoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02968018 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-30

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-09 01:38

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-09 02:09

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a case report of clinical interest, which is documented by interesting images. The subject falls within the scope of the journal. Description and discussion of the findings are well done and well-founded. The bibliography is pertinent and current. However, the text needs improvement. Excerpts that deserve special attention are marked in yellow in attach file.