

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journa	l: World	Journal	of Gastrointestinal	Oncology

Manuscript NO: 65541

Title: Clinical significance of molecular subtypes of gastrointestinal trace

adenocarcinoma

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05561810 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-10

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-25 15:53

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-05 14:56

Review time: 9 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is well described about molecular subtype of GI adenocarcinoma. It would be good to attach pictures or tables to help understand this article. Thank you.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 65541

Title: Clinical significance of molecular subtypes of gastrointestinal tract

adenocarcinoma

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05469229 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-10

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-29 04:58

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-07 11:23

Review time: 8 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Baishideng Publishing Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have some suggestions to improve the quality of the manuscript; On page 5, authors would be better to clarify the "tyrosine kinase recoproteins" meaning. Did they tried to mention the tyrosine kinase receptors (RTKs) or ligands or adaptor molecules that can be interacted with the RTKs? In my opinion, it could be better to show the common mutations, their related therapeutic approaches and types of cancer as a Table in the manuscript will help to get more citation and get more attention at the first look.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology	Name of journal:	World	Journal	of Gastrointestinal	Oncology
--	------------------	-------	---------	---------------------	----------

Manuscript NO: 65541

Title: Clinical significance of molecular subtypes of gastrointestinal trace

adenocarcinoma

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05345777 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-10

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-25 08:52

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-08 11:34

Review time: 13 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The the molecular genetic subtyping authors reviewed of gastrointestinal adenocarcinomas (esophageal, gastric, and colon adenocarcinomas), which gave us insights to understanding of gastrointestinal adenocarcinomas biology, further guiding future clinical research. This review is relatively innovative. There are still some questions in this paper. 1. Tables can be used appropriately for content rendering. 2. The advantages and disadvantages of each classification method need to be compared based similar methods. 3.The clinical application of molecular typing is messy, some parts are only listed without further analysis. We need to find a breakthrough point for analysis. 4. At the end of the article, the author points out the shortcomings of the existing research, and does not put forward his own views on how to improve the existing research. 5. The proper adjustment of the structure of the article will help to highlight the key points.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com