

#### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 74675

Title: Efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for initially resectable colorectal liver

metastases: A retrospective cohort study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05049410
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

**Author's Country/Territory:** Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-03 09:27

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-06 21:15

**Review time:** 3 Days and 11 Hours

| Scientific quality | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish                                  |
|--------------------|--|
| Language quality   | [ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion         | [ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection                                  |
| Re-review          | [Y]Yes []No  |



## Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a work that explains the experience of his working group in colorectal cancer metastases. It is very well structured, explained and written. The results and conclusion are in agreement with current data that the most unfavorable patients benefit from neoadjuvant chemotherapy treatment. But it raises some questions: - Inclusion criteria for the analysis. It goes from 644 to 297, but only 61 are excluded. A flowchart could help. - The work refers to colorectal cancer. But then only the primary is cited as right and left. - The strategy for the treatment of synchronous tumors is not explained: liver first, primary primary, simultaneous ... - No case of preconditioning is mentioned and whether this has been an exclusion criterion.



#### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 74675

Title: Efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for initially resectable colorectal liver

metastases: A retrospective cohort study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03769068 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Adjunct Professor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

**Author's Country/Territory:** Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-01 13:59

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-08 12:02

**Review time:** 6 Days and 22 Hours

| Scientific quality | [ Y] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good<br>[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish                              |
|--------------------|--|
| Language quality   | [ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion         | [ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection                                  |
| Re-review          | [Y]Yes [ ]No   |



## Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

| Peer-reviewer |
|---------------|
|               |

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a study with a clear objective. The work is well written; however, I suggest that the authors present the inclusion and exclusion criteria more clearly.



#### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 74675

Title: Efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for initially resectable colorectal liver

metastases: A retrospective cohort study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06245828 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-01 10:21

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-10 06:19

**Review time:** 8 Days and 19 Hours

| Scientific quality | [ Y] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good<br>[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish                              |
|--------------------|--|
| Language quality   | [ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion         | [ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection                                   |
| Re-review          | [ ]Yes [Y]No   |



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous |
|---------------|--|
| statements    | Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No |

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well-written and describes a therapeutic benefit for the patients from NAC. A flow chart could add an extra strength and clarity for the reader



#### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 74675

Title: Efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for initially resectable colorectal liver

metastases: A retrospective cohort study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03252330 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-02 08:51

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-14 23:28

**Review time:** 12 Days and 14 Hours

| Scientific quality | [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good<br>[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish                               |
|--------------------|--|
| Language quality   | [ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion         | [ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection                                  |
| Re-review          | [ ]Yes [Y]No   |



### Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The submitted paper analyzes the effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for initially resectable colorectal liver metastases in a Japanese retrospective cohort; this issue is quite complex, also due to the characteristics and classification criteria used in various publications. Here the authors compare patients who underwent liver resection with individuals that received neoadjuvant chemotherapy prior to surgery. The authors were able to extract, from the entire cohort, two groups with similar characteristics (50 subjects each) and undergoing the two different therapeutic options, that were followed for up to 10 years. Within these groups, patients were also classified as low or high risk according to the presence of preoperative CEA levels, primary histological type and primary lymph node metastases. The authors detected a significantly better survival at 5 years in patients with neoadjuvant therapy. Although the data are interesting, there are some points that need to be clarified: Patients' characteristics before the propensity score matching should be reported in a table. The number of the patients still present in the various groups in the Kaplan-Meier curve Although the numbers are quite small, did the authors observe any difference among the various treatments?