

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 76544

Title: Profiling of gene fusion involving targetable genes in Chinese gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06048170

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-01 08:56

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-01 09:00

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an article to address Profiling of gene fusion involving targetable genes in Chinese gastric cancer. The authors concluded the landscape of fusions involving targetable genes in a Chinese GC cohort and found that 1.68% of patients with GC harbor potential targetable gene fusions, which were enriched slightly in patients with ERBB2 amplification. Gene fusion detection may provide a potential treatment strategy for patients with GC with disease progression following standard therapy. That is interesting and helpful. This study contributes to a potential treatment strategy for patients with GC with disease progression following standard therapy.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 76544

Title: Profiling of gene fusion involving targetable genes in Chinese gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05196024

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-13 18:36

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-19 18:29

Review time: 5 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is scientifically well done and overall well written. In some ways it is a shame that the authors did not find more targetable mutations which could be of benefit to this population of patients. I have a few minor comments to make the manuscript easier for readers who are not as familiar with gene sequencing and profiling to understand. Abstract, Methods line 3: Please define SNVs at first use Abstract , Conclusions, line 3: I recommend deleting the word “slightly” since in other places, such as the Core Tip, the authors simply say “enriched” Introduction, second paragraph, line 7: TCGA is The Cancer Genome Atlas; line 12, please define dMMR at first use Introduction, third paragraph, line 2: laRotrectinib is misspelled M&M, Mutational Profiling, first paragraph, second to last line: Please add “more THAN 25% OF regions” M&M, Mutational Profiling, second paragraph, line 5: Please define MSS as first use Results, Novel Fusions, line 7: Please define IGV at first use Discussion, second paragraph, line 5: Please delete the word “Although”