

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 81463

Title: Short-term efficacy and influencing factors of conventional chemotherapy combined with irinotecan in patients with advanced gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06129251

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Research Associate, Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-17 08:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-21 09:43

Review time: 4 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority)[] Accept (General priority)[Y] Minor revision[] Major revision[] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you very much for asking me to review this manuscript. This study investigated the short-term efficacy and influencing factors of chemotherapy combined with irinotecan in patients with advanced gastric cancer. The result of the study is of interest and may help improve the quality of life of patients with advanced gastric cancer, and improve the prognosis. Overall, this study was well conducted with good methodology and intelligible English. The topic is actual and well described. Thank you for a useful and important synopsis of this important topic. Furthermore, minor comment that I would to proposed: 1. Title: Proper and cover all the core result from the study. 2. Abstract: not good enough and need to add some simple background and be more organized. 3. Key words: could cover this study. 4. Introduction: Describe the overall basic knowledge for this study. Moreover, the aim of the study is clear. 5. Method: The present study is methodologically well conducted. 6. Results: The result of this study is of interest. However, the description of the results section can be more comprehensive, and some important comparative results without statistical significance need to be described. 7. Discussion: The manuscript clearly interprets the finding adequately and appropriately. In addition, the manuscript could highlight the key points clearly. I suggest adding a description of the limitations of this research. 8. References: Citations are appropriate and there are many recent new studies, but references [9] and [31-35] did not find corresponding citations in the text. 9. Illustrations and tables: I congratulate the authors for the captions to the tables very explicative and complete.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 81463

Title: Short-term efficacy and influencing factors of conventional chemotherapy combined with irinotecan in patients with advanced gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06129255

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FRCP, MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-17 08:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-22 08:41

Review time: 4 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority)[] Accept (General priority)[Y] Minor revision[] Major revision[] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article with the title "Short-term efficacy and influencing factors of conventional chemotherapy combined with irinotecan in patients with advanced gastric cancer" is in generally well done. The topic is interesting and study is good-structured recommendation for the irinotecan combined with the conventional chemotherapy regimen of oxaliplatin and fluorouracil in advanced gastric cancer patients. The recommendations are good for clinical use. Also, your article is good in grammar and scientific writing rules. The topic is actual and well described. However, some issues have to be addressed: a. Regarding the Data and Methods section, the information from the baseline period suggests that the results of the SF-36 scale be supplemented, and in addition, it seems clearer to interchange the horizontal and vertical lines of Table 1; b. Regarding the Results section, what is the comparison between the two items referred to in Table 2? It seems to be explained in the remarks; Part 2.5, the difference was not statistically significant (2 = 0.115, P = 0.734>0.05).... and the difference was not statistically significant (2 = 0.643, P = 0.423>0.05). What does 2 = 0.115 and 2 = 0.643mean? Should be χ 2? c. In addition, the "side effect" needs to be modified, generally used as "Adverse event". d. All references should be cited in the text. Overall, the manuscript provided a theoretical basis for clinical treatments and could be useful for other studies in this field. I recommend that the manuscript can be published.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 81463

Title: Short-term efficacy and influencing factors of conventional chemotherapy combined with irinotecan in patients with advanced gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06129230

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-17 08:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-29 11:02

Review time: 12 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study of the short-term efficacy and influencing factors of chemotherapy combined with irinotecan in patients with advanced gastric cancer. The study is well performed and the manuscript is well written. Only the words in the figures are too small. Please take attention about it. Thank you.