

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 87790

Title: Pancreatic pseudoaneurysm mimicking pancreatic tumor: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03262127

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-09-08 07:58

Reviewer performed review: 2023-09-19 21:45

Review time: 11 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

mimicing (Abstract, Conclusion) - mimicking is right. contrast-enhanced CT and MRI, the (Core Tip) - how to explain the word "the"? I think, it should be removed. diagnosis was confirmed by EUS and successfully treated (Core Tip) - diagnosis cannot be treated. To be corrected. slight elevation in serum pancreatic enzymes (Case Presentation) - a bad expression. Please clarify, which enzyme was examined (lipase? amylase?). CDFI (Page 5) - please explain the abbreviation at first appearance in the text. This one was explained on Page 7, but not here. spleen artery (40%), followed by the gastro-duodenal artery (30%), the pancreatic duodenal artery (20%), the gastric artery (5%), and the hepatic arteries (2%) (Discussion) - please be very accurate with the anatomical terms! Splenic artery and gastroduodenal artery are the standard terms. Pancreatic duodenal artery - pancreaticoduodenal is right, so remember that there are at least two pancreaticoduodenal arteries - superior and inferior. The gastric artery - there is a similar story, there are left gastric artery, right gastric artery and short gastric arteries. This whole sentence needs to be completely corrected. You should not repeatedly indicate the explanation of abbreviations in the Figure Legends (CT, MRI,



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

EUS and so on); it is enough to indicate only the abbreviations.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 87790

Title: Pancreatic pseudoaneurysm mimicking pancreatic tumor: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04423126

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-09-20 05:37

Reviewer performed review: 2023-09-20 05:50

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Pancreatic pseudoaneurysm is a rare but dangerous disease. The correct diagnosis and therapy are crucial. The article provides an interesting case report and an overview of the current literature. It is certainly interesting for our readers.