

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

**Manuscript NO:** 88901

**Title:** Back to the future: How to proceed in a patient with end-stage carcinoid heart disease of an unknown primary tumor: A case report and review of literature

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06420432

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Croatia

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-10-13

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Lu Chen

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-11-30 03:07

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-12-08 01:18

**Review time:** 7 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Bulj et al. have presented a comprehensive medical history and diagnostic investigation of a complex patient case involving gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms. The case is notably intriguing. Here are some suggestions: 1 Ensure that specialized terms are defined upon their initial appearance to enhance clarity and understanding. 2 While the discussion section is thorough, primarily focusing on guidelines and literature, it is advisable to streamline the content for conciseness. Establish more direct connections with the presented case study. 3 Rectify minor errors in the article, such as (Figure 3a and 3b.). Ensure there is a space before the parentheses in paper citations. 4 When multiple small images are included in a single figure, standardize the order of each small image for consistency and ease of comprehension. 5 Consider adding the abbreviation for heart structures in the screenshot of the cardiac ultrasound for clarity. 6 Provide appropriate explanations for the images in the legends to enhance the reader's understanding of the visual content.