

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11391

Title: Role of neoadjuvant therapy in esophageal cancer

Reviewer code: 00227577

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-05-19 22:48

Date reviewed: 2014-05-22 06:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Esophageal cancer is rapidly growing. In Africa and Asia, it is mainly squamous cell carcinoma, while in western countries, adenocarcinoma is a bigger concern. This review summarizes our current knowledge on this topic, and particularly focuses on the treatment of esophageal adenocarcinoma, suggesting that combination of surgery, chemotherapy and radiation therapy may give a better outcome. This is a great topic and deserves more attention. The manuscript is written fairly well, but there are some grammar issues requiring fixation. Also, the literature citation seems to be outdated. A more recent review on esophageal cancer (Esophageal malignancy: A growing concern. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2012, 18(45): 6521-6526) should be referenced.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11391

Title: Role of neoadjuvant therapy in esophageal cancer

Reviewer code: 01468173

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-05-19 22:48

Date reviewed: 2014-06-06 13:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for submitting your paper. This manuscript is a review of neoadjuvant therapy for esophageal cancer. This is well summarized, however, some points confused readers. So revisions are needed. comments 1. This manuscript has no discussion based on each esophageal stage. Even if no distal metastasis was pointed out, better outcome depends on the staging. So you should describe review of treatment strategy in each stage. 2. Esophageal cancer has two major histological components, SCC and adenoca. Biological behavior should be different from histological type. Could you set some discussions based on the histological type in order? 3. Could you select latest manuscripts?