

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7707

Title: ESOPHAGEAL CANCER MANAGEMENT CONTROVERSIES - RADIATION ONCOLOGY POINT OF VIEW

Reviewer code: 00068382

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2013-11-29 21:59

Date reviewed: 2013-12-16 14:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. In the total of 55 cited paper, 26 of them were published in recent five years, that means the review may be for lack of updated. In my suggestions, it would be better to update the cited literatures. 2. The review should be stated highlightly on controversies of target volumes and dose of radiotherapy (RT) on esophageal cancer treatment, existing present problem, Solution and prospect, et al.

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7707**Title:** ESOPHAGEAL CANCER MANAGEMENT CONTROVERSIES - RADIATION ONCOLOGY POINT OF VIEW**Reviewer code:** 01799430**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-29 21:59**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-26 21:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good review article on the radiotherapy in the patients with esophageal cancer. Although almost contents were very nice, the description for CRT and RT alone in the first main section was somewhat confused. In addition, the trivial things were marked in the attached file.

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7707**Title:** ESOPHAGEAL CANCER MANAGEMENT CONTROVERSIES - RADIATION ONCOLOGY POINT OF VIEW**Reviewer code:** 02861019**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-29 21:59**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-30 01:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I read with interest your manuscript entitled "ESOPHAGEAL CANCER MANAGEMENT CONTROVERSIES - RADIATION ONCOLOGY POINT OF VIEW". The manuscript is an accurate overview on the modality of radiation therapy for esophageal cancer. The manuscript, in my opinion is well-written and clear; I really appreciate the manuscript in order to increase my knowledge in this setting. I performed a Medline search and the references should be updated. I have not found any significant problem in the manuscript.