



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5198

Title: Pathological features and diagnosis of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas

Reviewer code: 00289642

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-08-22 20:20

Date reviewed: 2013-09-13 02:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, Castellano-Megias et al. reviewed the pathological features of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN) of the pancreas and discussed diagnostic and treatment strategies. The reviewer would like to congratulate the authors for writing such a superb piece of review article: not only giving a clear, and complete picture of the complicated disease, but also presenting the current challenges in diagnosis and treatment! With some small revisions (below), the paper should be given high priority for publication! There are a number of minor issues: 1) The abstract can be shortened. 2) It will be helpful to readers to add a list of content section after the abstract, to numerically each subtitle (1, 1.1, 1.2, etc...). 3) Figure 1 is missing. 4) Figure 3. List A, B, C. 5) Figure 4, Mark A, B, C on the images, and please use arrow heads to indicate the dysplasia areas. 6) Figure 5D is missing. Please use arrows to indicate the goblet cells (B). In C, what is the color of the MUC5AC staining? Please indicate with arrows. 7) Figures 6 and 7 are identical. 8) Rephrase the 2nd sentence in the second paragraph of Page 12. 9) Rephrase the 1st sentence in the second paragraph of Page 14. 10) P26, Figure 8B, what is the color of the staining? Please indicate with arrows. 11) Figure 9, please add figure legend for A, B, C. What are the brown colored cells in B and C? 12) P16, subtitle, "pseudoinvasion" not "seudo..." 13) If possible, please add a brief summary paragraph – can be modified from the abstract.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5198

Title: Pathological features and diagnosis of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas

Reviewer code: 02446261

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-08-22 20:20

Date reviewed: 2013-09-29 09:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very well written updated review on the subject of IPMN. Will interest the surgeons and pathologists alike.