

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 18294

Title: Colorectal cancer diagnosis: Pitfalls and opportunities

Reviewer's code: 03005415

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-04-17 09:02

Date reviewed: 2015-06-06 02:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

We thank the authors for an interesting review of the pitfalls and opportunities in the diagnosis of symptomatic patients with CRC. Abstract: Appropriate Introduction: Appropriate Main text: Overall comprehensive. Could the authors introduce some comments regarding the use of CT colonography? As a general comments, could the authors make a conclusion (ie recommendation) regarding each points? Conclusion: Appropriate References: under bibliografia (needs translation)