

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20510

Title: Endoscopic ultrasound-fine needle injection for oncological therapy

Reviewer's code: 00227359

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-06-10 15:45

Date reviewed: 2015-06-16 02:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This was a well written comprehensive review about the EUS guided FNI therapies for pancreatic cancer. It cumulates all the important published studies and gives a chance to the future prospects. However I could not reach some of the references. There were no year records of some references.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20510

Title: Endoscopic ultrasound-fine needle injection for oncological therapy

Reviewer's code: 00004485

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-06-10 15:45

Date reviewed: 2015-06-18 00:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an exhaustive review of the use of FNI therapies for pancreatic cancer. 1. Please comment about using local therapy for what is for all intents and purposes a systemic disease. At a minimum, the authors should change their conclusions that EUS-FNI is promising for pancreatic cancer. Few of the studies quoted showed a survival advantage, and many of the therapies had a negative survival advantage and procedure-related complications. 2. The manuscript would be improved by several representative images. 3. Throughout the manuscript the authors discuss other types of cancer treated with EUS-FNI. It may be better to present these briefly in the Introduction section, as they are germane to the concept, not to pancreatic malignancy specifically.