



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21998

Title: Hereditary Diffuse Gastric Cancer : CDH1 and beyond

Reviewer's code: 02976847

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-04-12 13:08

Date reviewed: 2015-04-16 16:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The language of this manuscript should be improved. There are some grammatical and syntax mistakes in this article, which should be polished by a native English expert. Authors could conduct their own specific experiments, and analyze data with specific statistical tools to present more convincing findings. Secondary headings are needed to give clearer description. Abstract. Statistical information must be stated in Abstract. More key words are needed. Introduction: 1. Line 5: "Percentage" is repeated, Please delete it. 2. Line 5: "but are important to identify...." The logic here should be improved and the language should be polished. 3. Second paragraph, Line 1: There is sentence structure error. 4. Second paragraph, Line 3: There is a grammatical error. Other relevant hereditary cancer syndromes: 1. Line 2: "differential" is wrongly used. 2. Line 6: There is a grammatical error. Genetic susceptibility 1. Line 2-3: The sentence is confusing. 2. Line 7: "been" should be deleted. Conclusion: 1. Line 1: The logic here should be improved and the language should be polished. 2. Line 4: There is a grammatical error.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21998

Title: Hereditary Diffuse Gastric Cancer : CDH1 and beyond

Reviewer's code: 03003460

Reviewer's country: Austria

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-04-12 13:08

Date reviewed: 2015-04-23 17:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall, the review gives a good general overview of the key important features hereditary gastric cancer in terms of pathophysiology, treatment, and prophylactic measures. It was well written, easy to follow with good division of sections. There are only two minor comments: 1) Genetic susceptibility: Although the author do include missense variants in Figure 1 their lack to discuss the relevance of such mutation. Unclassified variant represent an issue not to be neglected. The authors should briefly comment on how to interpret these variants and possibilities for functional testing. 2) Prophylactic gastrectomy: In a study from Hebbard et al (2009) it was reported that 96% of patients had evidence of diffuse/signet-ring carcinoma on final standardized pathological evaluation. Therefore comprehensive pathological review of biopsies is suggested. The authors should briefly discuss issues regarding multifocal tumor lesions, tumor size and the number of biopsies taken for diagnosis.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21998

Title: Hereditary Diffuse Gastric Cancer : CDH1 and beyond

Reviewer's code: 02537779

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-04-12 13:08

Date reviewed: 2015-04-13 14:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Current manuscript reviews genetic screening of CDH1, and other HDGC-susceptibility genes newly identified, such as CTNNA1 and MAP3K6 et al. Relevant hereditary cancer syndromes of GC are mentioned. Surveillance and treatment methods to improve clinical management of HDGC are summarized. The review is adequate and the material covers reports up to date. In my opinion, this review might be helpful to those with closely related research interest.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21998

Title: Hereditary Diffuse Gastric Cancer : CDH1 and beyond

Reviewer's code: 03088487

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-04-12 13:08

Date reviewed: 2015-04-24 19:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a manuscript that describes some general features of HDGC. The manuscript covers a broad range of subjects within HDGC such as surveillance, treatment, susceptibility (CDH1 and others) 1. The language needs a lot of improvement in general Some italics need to be noted. Such as "de novo" or "other candidate gene". Some words need to be excluded. Such as "percentage". Maybe a native English speaker collaborator can paraphrase some sentences that are more difficult to follow. 2. The 2 routes of figure 1 where there is no CDH1 truncating or large indel variant identified are not clear in the manuscript. It would benefit from a discussion of these two points (missense CDH1 variants or no CDH1 variants)