

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30025

Title: Heavily calcified gastrointestinal stromal tumors: pathophysiology and implications of a rare clinicopathologic entity

Reviewer's code: 00505466

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-09-07 14:27

Date reviewed: 2016-09-13 01:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors describe and discuss an interesting case of a calcified gastric GIST. The case report is well written and well documented. Only some minor comments are to be made. At the end of the case description, "At time of this report, ..." should be changed in duration of the follow up in months. In the discussion, "The most acknowledged process is dystrophic calcification that typically involve degenerated tissues ..." should be "The most acknowledged process is dystrophic calcification that typically involves degenerated tissues ..." and "Still, metastatic calcification occurring ..." should be "Still, metastatic calcifications occurring ...". The "unique case of osseous metaplasia with mature bone formation has also been described in a newly diagnosed gastric GIST" requires a reference.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30025

Title: Heavily calcified gastrointestinal stromal tumors: pathophysiology and implications of a rare clinicopathologic entity

Reviewer's code: 00006675

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-09-07 14:27

Date reviewed: 2016-09-13 20:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is rare and interesting case of a large GIFT with unusual massive calcification. The case report is clear and the comments based on data existing literature are appropriate.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30025

Title: Heavily calcified gastrointestinal stromal tumors: pathophysiology and implications of a rare clinicopathologic entity

Reviewer's code: 00502831

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-09-07 14:27

Date reviewed: 2016-09-08 18:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author reported an unusual presentation of a gastric GIST with prominent calcifications, and discussed pathophysiology and clinical implication of calcifications in GISTs by reviewing the most updated and relevant literature. This is interesting case and the authors researched past cases of GISTs with calcification in detail. But I have some comments as bellow. #1. If the authors have abdominal X-ray, the authors should shows the image of abdominal X-ray of this case. #2. How about mutations of c-kit and of PDGFRA in past reported cases? #3. The authors should show the prognosis of reported cases on Table 1. #4. What was the preoperative diagnosis of the author's reported case? Did authors perform EUS-FNAB? #5. The tumor size of this case was 9.3 x 5.5 cm. Why did the authors perform laparoscopic surgery? Because laparoscopic surgery is not recommended for GIST with the size > 5cm on GIST guide line. #6. The authors should show the macroscopic picture of cut surface of the tumor.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30025

Title: Heavily calcified gastrointestinal stromal tumors: pathophysiology and implications of a rare clinicopathologic entity

Reviewer's code: 03326259

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-09-07 14:27

Date reviewed: 2016-09-09 10:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript entitled "Heavily calcified GIST: pathophysiology and implications of a rare clinicopathologic entity." Overall, this manuscript is well-written and most have to be published pending minor English editing.