

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 33138

Title: Evolving treatment landscape for early and advanced pancreatic cancer

Reviewer's code: 02446403

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-02-12 17:47

Date reviewed: 2017-02-20 00:00

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the abstract and in the text pancreatic cancer is referred as a rare disease. This is not true in most countries. Rare diseases, by definition have an incidence of 2x100.000 people per year. Pancreatic adenocarcinoma incidence is at least 5 times higher. In the discussion of adjuvant treatment I would discuss more briefly ESPAC 1-3 and ConKo001 studies, well known and accepted whereas I would discuss in more detail Espacc 4 study.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 33138

Title: Evolving treatment landscape for early and advanced pancreatic cancer

Reviewer's code: 02545023

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-02-12 17:47

Date reviewed: 2017-02-27 05:12

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review article by Lau et al presents a comprehensive analysis of the multi-agent therapeutics for advanced pancreatic cancer, which is a very vicious and systemic disease even in patients at early stage. The manuscript was well written, with in-depth analysis of the literature/data and originality and impact to the field, which warrants publication in the Journal. Minor comments: "FOLFIRINOX and gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel are considered standard of care for fit patients who are able to tolerate the triplet and doublet combination, respectively" should be "... for fit patients who are able to tolerate the triplet and doublet combination, respectively".