

# **PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 51136

Title: Mesenchymal stem cells from different sources and their derived exosomes: a

clinical perspective

**Reviewer's code:** 03471268

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's country: Japan

Author's country: Spain

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-10-30 09:57

Reviewer performed review: 2019-11-02 09:42

Review time: 2 Days and 23 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	[ ] Accept	Peer-Review:
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	[Y] Anonymous
[Y] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Accept	[ ] Onymous
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
[ ] Grade E: Do not	language polishing	[ ] Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	[ ] Grade D: Rejection	[Y] Major revision	[Y] Advanced
		[ ] Rejection	[ ] General
			[ ] No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			[ ] Yes
			[ Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



In this review article, the authors reported that exsomes derived from Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) could be a novel therapeutic tool in the field of regenerative medicine. This is an emerging field that cellular therapy without cell. In the meantime, this could be interesting to generate exosomes for clinical applications. However, the authors only cited two clinical trials and one of patients died after 7 months. Nevertheless the process of treating patients with exsomes is not described in detail. The mechanism of exsomes function still remains unclear, so the "clinical perspective" could not be positive and linked to the expected clinical application recently. The title is easily to lead to misunderstanding. Also the authors are suggested to rearrange the story logic and re-summarize to help readers to understand well. The current version is not very easy to follow.

### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

### Google Search:

- [ ] The same title
- [ ] Duplicate publication
- [ ] Plagiarism
- [Y]No

## BPG Search:

- [ ] The same title
- [ ] Duplicate publication
- [ ] Plagiarism
- [ Y ] No



# **PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 51136

Title: Mesenchymal stem cells from different sources and their derived exosomes: a

clinical perspective

**Reviewer's code:** 02728252

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Author's country: Spain

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-12-11 07:25

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-12 08:40

Review time: 1 Day and 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	[ ] Accept	Peer-Review:
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	[Y] Anonymous
[ ] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Accept	[ ] Onymous
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
[ ] Grade E: Do not	language polishing	[Y] Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	[ ] Grade D: Rejection	[ ] Major revision	[ ] Advanced
		[ ] Rejection	[Y] General
			[ ] No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			[ ] Yes
			[ Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



Really it is an interesting opinion review about clinical perspective of bone marrow, adipose tissue and umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells-derived exosomes. Surely, I enjoyed reading this review. The shortcomings are in the following: 1. Please add the number of references in your tables, for example (Damania et al., 2018 [23]) as the style of referencing is numerical not alphabetical. 2. Please use one abbreviation for microRNA (miRNA). 3. Could you please extend your paragraph in the core tip section, it is very short. 4. It is well written with good English editing but minor grammatical errors and typos are found.

### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

Google Search:

- [ ] The same title
- [ ] Duplicate publication
- [ ] Plagiarism
- [Y]No

### BPG Search:

- [ ] The same title
- [ ] Duplicate publication
- [ ] Plagiarism
- [Y]No



# **PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 51136

Title: Mesenchymal stem cells from different sources and their derived exosomes: a

clinical perspective

**Reviewer's code:** 02446215

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: BSc, PhD

Professional title: Chief Technician, Research Fellow

Reviewer's country: Italy

Author's country: Spain

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-28 11:28

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-12 08:50

Review time: 13 Days and 21 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	[ ] Accept	Peer-Review:
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	[Y] Anonymous
[Y] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Accept	[ ] Onymous
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
[ ] Grade E: Do not	language polishing	[Y] Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	[ ] Grade D: Rejection	[ ] Major revision	[ ] Advanced
		[ ] Rejection	[Y] General
			[ ] No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			[ ] Yes
			[ Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



This opinion review is well written and of interest

## **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

#### Google Search:

- [ ] The same title
- [ ] Duplicate publication
- [ ] Plagiarism

[ Y ] No

## BPG Search:

- [ ] The same title
- [ ] Duplicate publication
- [ ] Plagiarism
- [ Y ] No