

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Stem Cells

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12688

**Title:** Kallikrein-kinin in stem cell therapy

**Reviewer code:** 02614898

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-22 15:36

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-26 00:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study by Chao and colleagues is a great comprehensive review of novel roles of the KKS in stem cell therapy to treat cardiovascular pathologies in humans. I have no major suggestions and problems with the manuscript. Only a couple of minor issues require authors' attention. 1. Spell out MI for the first time (Page 5) 2. Introduction: It looks like references are missed for the last two sentences. 3. As a follow up, a general recommendation: In many cases, references are given for the initial statement but not for the next 2-3 sentences. It might be confusing for a reader whether authors continue to discuss the same work or not. Please edit the manuscript to provide clearer referencing.