

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

ESPS manuscript NO: 19722

Title: Stem cells and its heart work

Reviewer's code: 00225292

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-05-20 08:41

Date reviewed: 2015-06-03 16:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

References used in this paper- Limited, Required to refer more Latest Papers from 2012-2015 I recommend that the paper should be revised. (1) The originality and characteristics of proposed method should be illustrated in the paper. (2) Please revised some grammatical and typing errors, it is necessary to consult a professional. (3) There are few new references (after 2014) in section References, I think it is not a good idea.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

ESPS manuscript NO: 19722

Title: Stem cells and its heart work

Reviewer's code: 00070848

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-05-20 08:41

Date reviewed: 2015-05-21 02:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

World Journal of Stem Cells Re: REVIEW: Stem Cells and Its He(art) work This is an interesting review on mesenchymal stem cells, hematopoietic stem cells, endothelial progenitor cells, induced pluripotent stem cells, bone marrow mononuclear cells, genetically modified cells and their use in patients with myocardial disease. This paper needs the followings in order to enhance its strength: 1. The introduction should be shortened in half and much of the material should be used for the discussion section. 2. Outline the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying each kind of stem cells discussed and present this information as a graphic illustration or flow diagram