

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 43286

Title: Truth lies below: A case report of typical appearing polyps yet with an atypical diagnosis

Reviewer's code: 02523689

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Date sent for review: 2018-11-20

Date reviewed: 2018-11-23

Review time: 3 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this case report the authors reported a case of monomorphic epitheliotropic intestinal T-cell lymphoma in a 60 y old African American lady and she is under chemotherapy. This disease entity is rare especially in absence of risk factors particularly celiac disease.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

The case seems interesting and I do have some comments that may improve the quality of the work: 1- I encourage the authors to do literature review and summarize other similar cases in a comparison table to elucidate difference between different cases 2- In the treatment, the patient was treated by EPOCH (which) include prednisolone and after brackets written that the regimen is given without prednisolone. Do the author mean that she was treated with EOCH? 3- Figure legends are missing. Detailed description of each figure should be given. 4- Figure 1 is of low resolution (CT images) if improved it will be better 5- Figure 2 is the EGD picture and not colonoscopy as shown in the text (please revise) 6- After which duration endoscopy was repeated? How frequent do the author recommend repeat endoscopy? 7- A conclusion statement is missing

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 43286

Title: Truth lies below: A case report of typical appearing polyps yet with an atypical diagnosis

Reviewer's code: 03258862

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Date sent for review: 2018-11-20

Date reviewed: 2018-11-25

Review time: 5 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Useful case report needs a major revision. Manuscript is poorly written. 1 Text in table 1 is same as case history. Table-1 should be removed. 2. Figure 2 (nodules in ileum) and 4 (strictures) are not appreciable, should be removed. 3. Case presentation-



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

last paragraphs- sentence "The patient was started on chemotherapy with EPOCH (Etoposide, Prednisolone, Oncovin, Cyclophosphamide, and Hydroxydaunorubicin) without prednisolone given concern for colonic perforation."- IS NOT UNDERSTANDABLE ? 4. Conclusion is very poor. Please revise conclusions- (In conclusion, our patient continues to undergo therapy. Her subsequent PET scan and endoscopy have identified persistent disease. Our case is limited in that the patient has yet to complete chemotherapy.) 5. Abstract- poor

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No