

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 58341

Title: Recent advances in third space or intramural endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 00061678

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-19

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-24 08:50

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-24 09:33

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors Well written manuscript, considered a good review and update of third space endoscopy.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 58341

Title: Recent advances in third space or intramural endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 03806663

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-19

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-24 05:30

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-26 16:10

Review time: 2 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

this issue is innovative, but some comments are highlighted below: 1-the topic is discussed before so what is the new in this research. 2-as regards figures, scale bar, color blindness safe mode, annotations, insets, legends are needed. 3- is there a role for THIRD SPACE ENDOSCOPY for hirshsprung disease. 4- language needs polishing.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 58341

Title: Recent advances in third space or intramural endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 00504581

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Attending Doctor, Doctor, Medical Assistant, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-19

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-24 11:06

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-30 19:22

Review time: 6 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is in fact a particularly good and interesting review, easy to read, very well documented, which shows the evolution since the very beginning of this new type of endoscopic procedure. However, I miss in some way a certain critical view of these different breakthrough procedures, having in mind what happened before with the endoscopic treatment of the second space NOTES. For this reason, it would be interesting the author adds some critic view when it concerns to the comparative results with others endoscopic techniques or standard surgical treatment, and some comments about the learning curve of these procedures and the applicability in the clinical practice, in many cases much related with the level of local surgical expertise and patient preference than with the technique itself Page 6 the bibliographic reference number 11 appears before the reference number 10, correct, please The authors stated on page 3 that “....Using the principles of endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD), the submucosal space or “third space” was accessed and used as a working plane while the mucosal flap prevented any peritoneal leakage [5].....The technique was then refined and perfected by Inoue, who performed the “. For instance when they described the different procedures of endoscopic of third space, they should begin describing ESD Table 1 and discussion. Many subepithelial lesions (SMT) are currently treated by ESD as the authors stated last paragraph page 7., Therefore when it comes to STER the authors should state the importance of USE for diagnosis of lesion coming out of the muscularis propia. Besides it is possible STER could replace in the future ESD, so the authors should compare both in terms of the time of the procedure, complications, rate of relapse and possible difficulties and problems with the learning curve

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 58341

Title: Recent advances in third space or intramural endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 03028174

Position: Editor-in-Chief

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-19

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-24 01:10

Reviewer performed review: 2020-09-21 07:32

Review time: 28 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is aimed to review the evolution and current applications of third space endoscopy in various gastrointestinal disorders. The title is “Recent Advances in Third Space or Intramural Endoscopy”. 1. Please also summarize in the form of “Table”. 2. What are the new knowledge from this report? 3. Finally, please recommend the readers “How to apply this knowledge for routine clinical practice?”.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 58341

Title: Recent advances in third space or intramural endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 03806663

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-19

Reviewer chosen by: Pan Huang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-10-20 11:45

Reviewer performed review: 2020-10-21 18:56

Review time: 1 Day and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

it is acceptable