

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

**Manuscript NO:** 69182

**Title:** Primary prophylaxis of variceal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis – a comparison of different strategies

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05360952

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** DDS, MDS

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Iran

**Author's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-06-19

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-07-05 08:21

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-07-12 06:07

**Review time:** 6 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In this manuscript the authors have reviewed the main strategies for primary prophylaxis against variceal hemorrhage, and compare their strengths and weaknesses. The authors have referenced new and valuable publications in this regard. This is a good and a useful summary of this topic for clinicians. I suggest they check the language of the manuscript in applications such as "Grammarly" for minor grammatical changes. And please explain the symbols used in the table (+, +?, ++, etc.) in the table footnotes.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

**Manuscript NO:** 69182

**Title:** Primary prophylaxis of variceal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis – a comparison of different strategies

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05619876

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Chief Physician, Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-06-19

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-07-06 23:45

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-07-14 03:26

**Review time:** 7 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In patients with cirrhosis, the bleeding from esophageal varices is one of leading factors leading to death. Primary prophylaxis against their rupture is recommended to patients at higher risks. This review will provide a comparison of the strengths and weaknesses of the different strategies for primary prophylaxis against variceal bleeding. The data are well explained, I would suggest it publish in this journal.