

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 62769

Title: Endoscopic treatment of primary aorto-enteric fistulas: A systematic review and a plausible case report.

Reviewer's code: 05194798

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Denmark

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-01

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-02 11:27

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-08 03:11

Review time: 5 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is a case report and a systemic review that investigated the availability of endoscopic treatment for primary aorto-enteric fistulas (PAEF). First, the authors reported a case of primary aorto-duodenal fistula who was successfully treated using endoscopic hemocclipping. Then, the authors extracted four case reports which described endoscopic treatment for bleeding from PAEF. They concluded that endoscopic treatment including hemocclipping can achieve hemodynamic stabilization in patients with bleeding PAEF. This study was conducted well, and the methods are appropriate. The data are presented clearly. In general, this is a well-written paper that presents interesting data. The results will be of interest to clinicians in the field. The following minor issues require clarification: Minor 1. (Abstract) OGD should be replaced with oesophago-gastro-duodenoscopy. 2. (Abstract) The authors stated that endoscopic treatment including hemocclipping can achieve hemodynamic stabilization in patients with bleeding PAEF. Therefore, I recommend that the authors replace “hemocclipping” with “endoscopic therapy including hemocclipping”, same as written in the conclusion section. 3. (Abstract) Is the last sentence necessary? 4. The authors should describe success or failure of the endoscopic hemostasis in the result section and Table 1. 5.

Endoscopic treatment such as hemocclipping and epinephrine injection can promote bleeding from PEEF, which might cause patients to fall into life-threatening condition. The authors should discuss the risk of endoscopic treatment. 6. Please discuss the characteristics of endoscopic findings in PEEF, including studies in which endoscopic therapy was not performed as well.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 62769

Title: Endoscopic treatment of primary aorto-enteric fistulas: A systematic review and a plausible case report.

Reviewer's code: 05194798

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Denmark

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-01

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-15 09:23

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-15 09:45

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

The manuscript is improved enough to be accepted.