

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 69443

**Title:** Endobiliary biopsy

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03806663 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-02

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-06 18:40

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-12-09 14:53

**Review time:** 2 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



# Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

it is an excellent topic, and also this area still has much debate: 1- is there any study discussing the combination of cholangiocyte with EUS. 2- Can an endoscopist do a cholangioscope via the subcutaneous route. 3- when you use EUS to take biopsies from the biliary tree, is there a risk of bile perforation as always you take biopsies from outside the biliary tree?



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

<b>Name of journal:</b> World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscop	of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy
--	---

Manuscript NO: 69443

**Title:** Endobiliary biopsy

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06109343

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-07-02

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-07 14:21

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-17 23:59

**Review time:** 10 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments • "Echoendoscopy (EUS)" is better replaced by "Endoscopic Ultrasonography (EUS)" • In page 9, the study of Inoue on C-BF did not mentioned whether the strictures were proximal or distal or both. • In page 13, can IDUS take a biopsy? If not, how can it guide biopsy taking? How can the biopsy forceps and IDUS be introduced at the same time? This is not clear! • There should be an algorithm for the diagnostic workup of proximal and distal bilialy strictures including all diagnostic and interventional modalities.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

<b>Name of journal:</b> World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endosc
--

Manuscript NO: 69443

**Title:** Endobiliary biopsy

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05282786 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-02

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-10 17:34

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-19 16:35

**Review time:** 8 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[ Y] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ Y] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A verry well conducted paper, accurate and with high quality information. The abstract reflect the information presented in the manuscript. The manuscript itself consist in high quality medical information regarding the different types of biliary strictures with well updated information. The literature review in quite extensive and well documented.