

### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

<b>Name of journal:</b> World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endosc
------------------------------------------------------------------

Manuscript NO: 75782

Title: Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty Balloons for Endoscopic

Ultrasound-Guided Pancreatic Duct Interventions

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06255208 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-16 01:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-16 03:24

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No



# Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1.please explain SAA in detail? 2.Patients with chronic pancreatitis can try accessory nipple intubation. Have you tried it? 3. The difficulty and key of the technology lies in that the guide wire passes through the narrow section. Do you agree with this view? 4.please explain SAA with post-operative leak in detail. Diagnostic criteria and severity of pancreatic fistula? 5.Postoperative follow-up time? 6.What about the follow-up treatment of these successful cases?



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World	! Journal	l of Gastro	intestinal	Endoscopy
------------------------	-----------	-------------	------------	-----------

Manuscript NO: 75782

Title: Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty Balloons for Endoscopic

Ultrasound-Guided Pancreatic Duct Interventions

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03261315 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACE, PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Chief Doctor, Doctor, Postdoc, Professor, Senior

Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-15 07:55

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-17 11:19

**Review time:** 2 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ Y] Rejection



Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript does not clearly present the background and present status of the study. The objectives of the study are missing. In the introduction the authors should not present the technique or procedure. The methods are not clearly presented. The demographic data are missing. There are some abbrevions like SAA without any explications. The results are ambiguos. The bibliography should be updated.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World	Journal of	Gastrointestinal	Endoscopy
------------------------	------------	------------------	-----------

Manuscript NO: 75782

Title: Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty Balloons for Endoscopic

Ultrasound-Guided Pancreatic Duct Interventions

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06251425 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MBBS

**Professional title:** Academic Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-17 06:08

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-27 19:23

**Review time:** 10 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good descriptive study. It has studied the role of a relatively new modality to treat difficult to treat strictures. Conclusions appropriately summarize the data.