

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 75608

Title: A new application of endocytoscope for histopathological diagnosis of colorectal lesions

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06148431

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DDS, MD, MHSc, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Postdoc, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-16 10:36

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-16 12:09

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

First of all congratulations to the authors for the work. It would be important to explain the following studies to be carried out with calculation of the sample size that can demonstrate with scientific data the advantages of using this new technology. These advantages must be explained in terms of decreased morbidity (less need for biopsies) or improved quality of life or diagnostic certainty. Within the limitations of the study, it would be relevant to address how diagnostic errors could be avoided during the learning curve. It would also be important to explain in economic or cost terms (without being exhaustive) how the absence of pathologists is justified and the possibility of having a high-cost team, probably explaining the efficient use (by saving time) of the available pathologists.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 75608

Title: A new application of endocytoscope for histopathological diagnosis of colorectal lesions

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06090125

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Lecturer, Technical Editor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iraq

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-12 03:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-16 14:23

Review time: 4 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The reviewer appreciates the author's work. Still, the manuscript or the work carried out is not matured for publication. Manuscript needs a good introduction, the introduction section of the paper is weak, authors are advised to improvise the introduction section. Also, result and discussion section is inadequate. Need more attention and better explanation. The contributions presented in this paper are not sufficient for possible publication in this journal. I highly suggest authors to clearly define the contributions.