

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* 

Manuscript NO: 81531

Title: BURIED BUMPER SYNDROME: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF ENDOSCOPIC

RELEASE TECHNIQUES

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06080724

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-16 15:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-26 17:39

Review time: 10 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this paper, the authors described 10 published endoscopic techniques for BBS. On the whole, the paper is well written. But I have some suggestions for the author to improve the paper further. 1.The Abstract can be more informative, such as a brief description of the ten existing technologies in general. 2.The authors stated that the paper is a critical analysis of current endoscopic release techniques, but there does not seem to be much of the authors' critical attitudes to the specific advantages and disadvantages of each technique. If possible, please provide a table briefly illustrating the advantages and disadvantages of techniques mentioned in the article. 3.How does the author guarantee that all current published studies have been reviewed. The attachment should provide the search strategy to use. 4.In the DISCUSSION section, the authors can provide a speculative view of how research on the Endoscopic release technique will develop in the coming years, and any improvements or research that may occur.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* 

Manuscript NO: 81531

Title: BURIED BUMPER SYNDROME: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF ENDOSCOPIC

RELEASE TECHNIQUES

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03026750

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FRCP, MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-27 09:50

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-07 07:06

Review time: 9 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority)</li> <li>[ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision</li> <li>[ ] Major revision</li> <li>[ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very important topic and well written manuscript. Adding figures or illustrations of each technique could be very informative for the readers.