

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endosc
--

Manuscript NO: 82747

Title: Endoscopic intraductal radiofrequency ablation for extrahepatic

cholangiocarcinoma: An update (2023)

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05449950 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-27 13:15

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-27 13:24

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous	
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No	

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, thank you so much for inviting me to revise this manuscript about cholangiocarcinoma. This study addresses a current topic. The manuscript is quite well written and organized. English should be improved. The tables are comprehensive and clear. The introduction explains in a clear and coherent manner the background of this study. We suggest the following modifications: • Introduction section: although the authors correctly included important papers in this setting, we believe the authors should discuss and briefly report the evolving systemic treatment scenario for cholangiocarcinoma and the association of locoregional approaches such as ablative modalities and systemic therapies, and some recently published papers should be added within the introduction (PMID: 32994319; PMID: 32396398; PMID: 33645367; PMID: 33592561), only for a matter of consistency. We think it might be useful to introduce the topic of this interesting review. • The authors should expand some sections, including a more personal perspective to reflect on. For example, they could answer the following questions – in order to facilitate the understanding of this complex topic to readers: What are the knowledge gaps and how do researchers tackle them? How do you see this area unfolding in the next 5 years? We think it would be extremely interesting for the readers. However, we think the authors should be acknowledged for their work. In fact, they correctly addressed an important topic, the methods sound good and their discussion is well balanced. We believe this article is suitable for publication in the journal although some revisions are needed. The main strengths of this paper are that it addresses an interesting and very timely question and provides a clear answer, with some limitations. We suggest a linguistic revision and the addition of some



references for a matter of consistency. Moreover, the authors should better clarify some points.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endosc
--

Manuscript NO: 82747

Title: Endoscopic intraductal radiofrequency ablation for extrahepatic

cholangiocarcinoma: An update (2023)

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02936529 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FRCS (Hon), MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-31 20:32

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-13 00:05

Review time: 12 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good
- '	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade D: No scientific significance
	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language
Language quality	polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing []
	Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)
	[] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review of usefull Intraductal radiofrequency ablation for Cholangiocarcinoma, highlighting the main topics regarding the subject, the informartion are updated, the text is concise and the sessions are clearly displayed for the reader. Congratulations for the authors. English polishing is not needed.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World	d Journal of	Gastrointestinal	Endoscopy
------------------------	--------------	------------------	-----------

Manuscript NO: 82747

Title: Endoscopic intraductal radiofrequency ablation for extrahepatic

cholangiocarcinoma: An update (2023)

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05449950 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-27

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-24 08:45

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-24 08:45

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

acceptance.