

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 84113

Title: Strategies to manage the difficult colonoscopy

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04881478 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-28

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-04 23:05

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-15 21:04

Review time: 10 Days and 21 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

i thought that the manuscript would explain some anatomical tricks of colon which may hinder complete examination, types of looping, how to overcome them and how to overcome difficult intubation of splenic and hepatic flexures, methods of lleal intubation then the recent advances in colonoscopy.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 84113

Title: Strategies to manage the difficult colonoscopy

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03721089 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-28

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-17 05:44

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-23 14:20

Review time: 6 Days and 8 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Difficult colonoscopy is indeed a problem that endoscopic surgeons may face in their practical work, and it is of practical clinical value to pay attention to and solve this problem. This review is well written. It reviews and summarizes the current situation of difficult colonoscopy, the factors that make colonoscopy difficult, the techniques for dealing with difficult colonoscopy and the equipment for managing difficult colonoscopy. Finally, it also shares the relevant experience of the author's own endoscopy center. This review is helpful for beginners in clinical colonoscopy. Here are some tips for this review: 1. Some disease factors, such as Parkinson's disease, are also risk factors for increasing colonoscopy time. Can other disease factors be briefly mentioned? 2. The simple manipulation of the nurse or assistant and the change of the position in the presence of difficult colonoscopy are very practical in dealing with difficult colonoscopy, especially in some basic hospitals. It is also suggested to appropriately increase the relevant content. 3. References is suggested to update the latest references.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 84113

Title: Strategies to manage the difficult colonoscopy

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02954019 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-28

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-14 04:10

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-14 04:33

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review article presents the techniques and methods available to assist in the difficult colonoscopy procedure. It is particularly clinically useful because it describes most of the modalities currently available. It is useful to know how to select the next modality when the colonoscopy is difficult to observe and additional tests are needed. For example, is there a report that CT colonography is preferable in patients older than 85 years and balloon endoscopy in younger patients? I would like the author's opinion on what the next modality should be when insertion was difficult due to pain and the bowel is too long to reach.