

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3967

Title: SAME-DAY 2-L PEG-CITRATE-SIMETHICONE PLUS BISACODYL VERSUS SPLIT 4-L PEG: BOWEL CLEANSING FOR LATE-MORNING COLONOSCOPY

Reviewer code: 00504462

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-06-05 16:35

Date reviewed: 2013-06-10 21:24

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Sir, I have read with great interest your relevant manuscript, as we all know that colonoscopy quality is mostly related to the cleaning of the colon. Your objectives, methodology and results are clear and well presented. Congratulations. Sincerely

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3967

Title: SAME-DAY 2-L PEG-CITRATE-SIMETHICONE PLUS BISACODYL VERSUS SPLIT 4-L PEG: BOWEL CLEANSING FOR LATE-MORNING COLONOSCOPY

Reviewer code: 00183658

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-06-05 16:35

Date reviewed: 2013-06-18 23:30

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review article from Italy is aim to evaluate the efficacy, tolerability, acceptance and feasibility of bisacodyl plus low volume PEG-citrate-simeticone (2-L PEG-CS) taken the same day as compared with conventional split-dose 4L PEG for late morning colonoscopy. The title is "SAME-DAY 2L PEG-CITRATE-SIMETHICONE PLUS BISACODYL VERSUS SPLIT 4L PEG: BOWEL CLEANSING FOR LATE-MORNING COLONOSCOPY".

1. The study a 2-centre randomised comparative study. It is a good design study.
2. The clinical application for routine clinical practice for bowel preparation is very important. The authors should be focused and be described this issue.
3. The objective of the study is aimed to evaluate only for late morning colonoscopy. It might be not true in early morning colonoscopy.
4. There are some limitations of the study. These factors might be influenced the primary outcome.
5. The co-operation of the patients and the willingness for the type of bowel preparation regimen are also important.

Thank you so much