

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14894

**Title:** Endoscopic papillectomy: The limits of the indication, technique and results

**Reviewer's code:** 01047575

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-29 14:21

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-07 09:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Part of indications Paragraph 1: "However, the indications for EP are expanding [10, 19-24]. For example, the piecemeal resection, when properly performed, provided increasing resections". Please explain "piecemeal resection" more clearly. When it should be used? How about the clinical results?
2. Part of preoperative staging Paragraph 3: "The use of intraductal ultrasound (IDUS), with a 20 MHz probe can be more accurate in visualizing mucosa layers compared to conventional EUS [40]." This conclusion is not consistent with the following data in this paragraph.
3. In this review, the authors did not compare the effect of EP with other treatment, such as surgical resection. However, in the part of conclusion, the authors concluded that "EP is associated with lower morbidity and mortality, and seems to be a preferable modality of treatment for small benign ampullary tumors with no intraductal extension." The data of success rate, mortality are not listed in the article. Additionally, the rate of complication is relative high (8%-35%), and we can not say that EP is a safe and effective therapy now.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14894

**Title:** Endoscopic papillectomy: The limits of the indication, technique and results

**Reviewer's code:** 02549261

**Reviewer's country:** France

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-29 14:21

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-02 15:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments: This is an interesting paper aimed to precise the place of endoscopic papillectomy. I think that the chapter "Results" could be more developped, particularly to precise if available. (1) The recurrence rate according to the size of the tumor, the type of tumor and the margins; (2) The adequacy or not between endoscopic biopsies and final histology of the specimen; (3) The number of patients ( from the series reported in table 1) refered to a surgical resection for incomplete or unsuccessful endoscopic papillectomy.

Minor Corrections: The sentence "Thus, in some cases, EP can be recommended as a technique for preoperative diagnosis because a high false negative rate of endoscopic biopsy [34]" is written two times.