



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20909

Title: Pilot study of transgastric endoscopic gastrojejunostomy using holing followed by one endo-loop matched with a pair of clips interrupted suture technique in a non-survival porcine model

Reviewer's code: 00068723

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-06-27 13:48

Date reviewed: 2015-06-29 08:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search: <input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No BPG Search: <input type="checkbox"/> The same title <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It seemed interesting that the loop of the small intestine was drawn into the cavity of the stomach. There were a couple of questions that arose. Were there any possibilities that complications would occur, such as bleeding, leakage, infection, peritonitis, and failure of anastomosis? To evaluate such complications, non-surviving animal model did not seem appropriate. Are there any rationalitirs that the non-surviving pigs were subjected to this study? It was assumed that it was hard to evaluate the pigs would survive after the endoscopical surgery. It might be also difficult to evaluate complications. It was hard to understand what current limitations the authors aimed to solve. "Introduction" needed brief description of limitations in view of the procedures in this study. After the limitations, it would be better to describe the points that the authors aimed to solve. "Materials and methods" It would be better to describe the new points of the procedure that the authors proposed.