

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 21095

Title: Endoscopic incisional therapy for benign esophageal strictures: technique and results

Reviewer's code: 02537509

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-07-01 09:04

Date reviewed: 2015-08-05 02:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors reported a review about "Endoscopic incisional therapy for benign esophageal strictures: technique and results". I think that this review is well written and is worth publishing in the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. I would like the authors to do an algorithm for the main indications of these treatment.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 21095

Title: Endoscopic incisional therapy for benign esophageal strictures: technique and results

Reviewer's code: 00722986

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-07-01 09:04

Date reviewed: 2015-07-15 14:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript titled "Endoscopic incisional therapy for benign esophageal strictures: technique and results" is a well written and comprehensive review article on a debateful topic. However the authors' own experience is not mentioned. Except some minor revision, it is worth considering for publication. Some changes and revision is necessary: 1. There is too little information on the management of complications of the EIT. 2. One of the main problems of stents is displacement. Please mention it. 3. Although there are some references of some pediatric journals, the paper seems to be intended to discuss only the adult patients. Is this true? The distribution, rate of causes and management of strictures are different in children. I think a few pediatric articles are worth citing such as Bicakci et al's (Pediatr Surg Int. 2010 ;26:251-5). 4. Section: "Technical details of incisional therapy", Subsection "c) The Technique", subsection "i)": Please change oral to "cranial" and anal to "caudal"