

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 13366

Title: BIOMARKERS IN BILE-COMPLEMENTING ADVANCED ENDOSCOPIC IMAGING IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF INDETERMINATE BILIARY STRICTURES

Reviewer code: 02531403

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-08-20 10:59

Date reviewed: 2014-08-21 23:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, I read with great interest the review article "BIOMARKERS IN BILE-COMPLEMENTING ADVANCED ENDOSCOPIC IMAGING IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF INDETERMINATE BILIARY STRICTURES", performed by Lourdasamy and coworkers. The abstract reflect fully the core of the paper. The introduction is well written, however I suggest, as the WJGE is a journal for an audience composed by endoscopist, to : - describe more extensively the current results about cholangioscopy - quote alternative methods, such BIDA (curcio g et al, endoscopy 2012) - quote the results of the more recent studies on this topic (weilert f, GIE 2014) The literature review is exhaustive and well written, however I suggest - to quote the therapeutic implications for each biomarker, for example in the topic of HSP, may be useful to quote Chen MH and coworkers, Oncotarget 2014 - to quote the metanalysis performed by Wiggers and coworkers about the difference in biomarkers between intra and extra hepatic CCA A table with advantage and disadvantage for each biomarkers should be added, And, in the conclusion, I suggest to quote again the utility of the methods of bile sampling, with regards to current and future perspectives Best regards

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 13366

Title: BIOMARKERS IN BILE-COMPLEMENTING ADVANCED ENDOSCOPIC IMAGING IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF INDETERMINATE BILIARY STRICTURES

Reviewer code: 00503755

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-08-20 10:59

Date reviewed: 2014-08-31 18:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written review paper about biliary biomarkers for malignant tumors. This review provide novel information to improve the diagnosis of malignant biliary tumors. I have several minor comments. 1. Please correct the citation format to match the Instruction. 2. Table(s) of summary of biliary biomarkers (including information about specificity and selectivity for what diseases) may be helpful for readers. 3. A table or figure for biological (and clinical) characters of CEAM6 and NGAL may also helpful for readers.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 13366

Title: BIOMARKERS IN BILE-COMPLEMENTING ADVANCED ENDOSCOPIC IMAGING IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF INDETERMINATE BILIARY STRICTURES

Reviewer code: 02861252

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-08-20 10:59

Date reviewed: 2014-09-03 05:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think that promising future studies summarized successfully in excellent scripting language...Good work...