

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13553

**Title:** Quality Monitoring in Colonoscopy: Time to Act

**Reviewer code:** 00033295

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-28 15:15

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-28 16:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

this is a comprehensive, yet synthetic and well written review addressing the pivotal issue of quality in colonoscopy. The main aspects have all been discussed clearly and effectively. minor points: 1. in the section about split dose bowel preparation add citation of a very recent comprehensive meta-analysis (Optimal bowel cleansing for colonoscopy: split the dose! A series of meta-analyses of controlled studies. Bucci C, et al Gastrointest Endosc 2014 Jul 19. pii: S0016-5107(14)01810-0. doi: 10.1016/j.gie.2014.05.320. [Epub ahead of print])