

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14477

**Title:** Peroral Endoscopic Myotomy (POEM)

**Reviewer code:** 02729987

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-06 16:35

**Date reviewed:** 2014-10-12 20:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper entitle "Peroral Endoscopic Myotomy" by Kumbhari and Khashab is a very complete and systematic review about this topic, that could very important for the readers of the WJGE. I have minor suggestions that could help improve it: 1. Introduction/ background sections that are separated should be included in the same section. 2. In the abstract please correct "forgeut" to "foregut". 3. Comment on the need of an after procedure CT scan. 4. I recommend for a better balance between personal experience and scientific evidence, there is too much of "how we do it". 5. Please correct in pag 15 "treatment naivie" to "treatment naive". 6. The major indication for POEM is achalasia, there should be one or two paragraphs describing the current therapeutic approaches to achalasia (Vaezi et al. ACG Clinical Guideline: Diagnosis and Management of Achalasia. Am J Gastroenterol. 2013; 108:1238-49) and other emerging therapies, like stent (Li YD et al, Temporary self-expanding metallic stents and pneumatic dilation for the treatment of achalasia: a prospective study with a long-term follow-up. Dis Esophagus 2010; 23 : 361- 7). The current role for POEM is this achalasia therapeutic algorithm must be more clear, although RCT are missing. 7. References do not follow the journal rules, namely: list all authors and must have PMID and DOI. Put reference numbers in square brackets in superscript at the end of citation content or after the cited author's name.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14477

**Title:** Peroral Endoscopic Myotomy (POEM)

**Reviewer code:** 02510223

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-06 16:35

**Date reviewed:** 2014-10-29 19:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is a very excellent systematic review about Peroral Endoscopic Myotomy(POEM).Importantly,the author described the procedure of this surgery ,prevention and treatment of possible complications after surgery and the postoperative care in detail.To clinicians,this is maybe very important,for it can provide guidance and help for their work.Here, I have a suggestion that the preoperative evaluation of patients could be described in more detail,for example,whether it's necessary to have a CT scan for chest.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14477

**Title:** Peroral Endoscopic Myotomy (POEM)

**Reviewer code:** 02840060

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-06 16:35

**Date reviewed:** 2014-10-19 08:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is well written and totally balanced, but too long. There are some spelling mistakes.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14477

**Title:** Peroral Endoscopic Myotomy (POEM)

**Reviewer code:** 02549261

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-06 16:35

**Date reviewed:** 2014-10-19 13:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent paper on POEM including many technical details with interesting figures. In the same way, the authors could add some figures on the main complications