



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14846

**Title:** THE ROLE OF WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY ON THE FOLLOW UP OF INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

**Reviewer's code:** 02457808

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-28 10:54

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-10 01:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a concise review dealing with the main indications for wireless capsule endoscopy in inflammatory bowel disease. Unfortunately, although the research field is important, the quality of the manuscript's presentation and its readability are very poor.



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14846

**Title:** THE ROLE OF WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY ON THE FOLLOW UP OF INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

**Reviewer’s code:** 02520845

**Reviewer’s country:** Croatia

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-28 10:54

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-10 15:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This review presents the novel diagnostic tool for inflammatory bowel disease. The authors systematically listed and described at first the role of wireless capsule endoscopy on the assessment of therapeutic effectiveness and treatment tailoring for CD, making a correlation between CECDAI and clinical symptoms/biochemical markers of inflammation. Further they considered the wireless capsule as a prognostic tool for response to therapy as well as after surgery and finally listed the potential medical indications for wireless capsule endoscopy application. The authors critically discussed the advantages and disadvantages of wireless capsule endoscopy application. In the reference list, recent researches are listed concerning this topic. Minor points: There are several typing and spacing errors in the text. The reference numbers in the text should be in square brackets in superscript. Also, the reference citations in the text should include only the surname of the first author without the initials of the first name. In conclusion, this is a very interesting review which provides a view of the problem of the diagnosis, therapy, and follow-up of Crohn’s disease.



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

### ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14846

**Title:** THE ROLE OF WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY ON THE FOLLOW UP OF INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

**Reviewer's code:** 02824224

**Reviewer's country:** Spain

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-28 10:54

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-15 01:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting new use of wireless technology, less important its use after surgery



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14846

**Title:** THE ROLE OF WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY ON THE FOLLOW UP OF INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

**Reviewer's code:** 00036517

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-28 10:54

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-11 21:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major points 1. I suggest that authors need to point out the usefulness of capsule endoscopy over regular endoscopy and/or colonoscopy. In this manuscript the point is not clear. 2. I suggest that the authors need to show the reason why doctors would choose capsule endoscopy rather than regular endoscopy. 3. Authors need to describe the risks and drawbacks of capsule endoscopy. Minor points There are many space mistakes in this manuscript. Authors need to chacke and correct them. Concerning the authors' name and et. al.. there are many mistakes with capitals and periods. Authors need to check and correct these points.



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14846

**Title:** THE ROLE OF WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY ON THE FOLLOW UP OF INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

**Reviewer's code:** 02505493

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-28 10:54

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-02 02:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The m/s reviews the literature regarding the use of wireless capsule endoscopy on the follow up of inflammatory bowel disease. The subject, although limited, is of high interest, since it permits visualization of parts of small intestine, in addition to colon, and it can also be applied for the evaluation of intestinal mucosa and follow up of patients with inflammatory bowel disease. The text contains a lot of grammar, syntax and typo errors. Some of the sentences (i.e., end of page 3 “wireless capsule.....disease”) are quite long. It is suggested to the authors to revise the text with the help of a native English speaker. Some abbreviations are used before indication of what they abbreviate, i.e., CD in “Abstract”. The reference section should be revised according to the “Instructions for Authors”. Especially for reference 9, use the names and not the surnames of authors. After revision of the text, the m/s would be suitable for publication.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14846

**Title:** THE ROLE OF WIRELESS CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY ON THE FOLLOW UP OF INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

**Reviewer's code:** 02456810

**Reviewer's country:** Israel

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-28 10:54

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-02 05:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall a nice review. However several important issues are missing or can be addressed in more depth: 1. VCE may have more potential roles in evaluation of established IBD : evaluation of IBS related symptoms vs true inflammation, reclassification of IBDU. There are several papers dealing with small bowel findings in patients with UC (Mow CGH 2014, Mehdizadeh Endoscopy 2008, Maunoury IBDJ 2007) and s/p IPAA (Murrell DCR 2010). These are important and should be discussed 2. Diagnostic scores (LEWIS CECDAI) should be discussed in detail 3. Mucosal healing in CD was prospectively evaluated in 2 studies by Hall et al (JCC and EUGH 2014)- please address in detail 4. Safety in established CD and the benefit of patency capsule should be discussed in more details (the literature is abundant). The risk of retention in recent studies is actually quite low (2.5-4 %) 5. The correlation between fecal calprotectin and lewis score or CECDAi is actually very modest (see Koulazidis et al 2012) . The issue of using FCP as a screening tool before capsule endoscopy should also be described 6. The therapeutic yield of VCE in CD (what was the impact of VCE on the treatment ) should also be described ( see Dussault DLD 2013, Long IBD 2011) 7. I suggest organizing



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

the more important studies in tables by topics 8. Careful proofreading could be very beneficial