

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 13360

Title: Evaluation of the margins of differentiated early gastric cancer by using conventional endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 01804189

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-08-21 08:24

Date reviewed: 2014-09-14 20:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a retrospective study and evaluation of various endoscopic criteria for unclear margins in early gastric cancer may not be perfect. Still this study provides useful guide for future prospective studies to define unclear margins in early gastric cancers.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 13360

Title: Evaluation of the margins of differentiated early gastric cancer by using conventional endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 00722166

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-08-21 08:24

Date reviewed: 2014-08-21 15:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study a significative sample of patients (364 differentiated early gastric cancers) was retrospectively evaluated. Authors evaluated the determination of the margin of differentiated-type early gastric cancers by using conventional endoscopy and the relationship between unclear margins and clinicopathological findings. This is a manuscript of an important field of study on gastric cancer. I think Authors had a laudable intent of pointing attention on endoscopic resection and on the importance of an accurately determination of lesion margins. In addition they provide information about factors associated with unclear margins. This study is certainly of interest for publication. The reading is enjoyable.