



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15967

**Title:** Obtaining Research Biopsies During Pediatric Colonoscopy: Safety and Adverse Events

**Reviewer's code:** 00068864

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-22 08:06

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-02 20:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

---



**BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC**

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
 Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243  
 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15967

**Title:** Obtaining Research Biopsies During Pediatric Colonoscopy: Safety and Adverse Events

**Reviewer’s code:** 00069461

**Reviewer’s country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-22 08:06

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-28 20:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear authors, Thank you for the possibility to review the paper of Jennifer Mait et al. “Obtaining Research Biopsies During Pediatric Colonoscopy: Safety and Adverse Events”. I have a question: How do journal’s readers use this knowledge into their clinical practise?



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15967

**Title:** Obtaining Research Biopsies During Pediatric Colonoscopy: Safety and Adverse Events

**Reviewer's code:** 00183658

**Reviewer's country:** Thailand

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-22 08:06

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-27 15:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article from USA is aim to review and compare the adverse events in the research study participants to patients who did not consent, the controls. The authors established that acquiring additional biopsies for research alone is safe. The title is "Obtaining Research Biopsies During Pediatric Colonoscopy: Safety and Adverse Events". There have some questions. The authors should to clarify and add the following issues in the text.

1. The study is a retrospective study. Some limitations might be occurred.
2. It is also a low sample size.
3. The patients participating in research might be well selected and prepared. It could not represent the general population.
4. In the research study, the operators are experienced endoscopists. The endoscopy-related adverse events may be lower than the real situation.
5. The authors did not show the type of anesthetic techniques and anesthetic agents used for this study. Please add the type of anesthetic techniques and anesthetic agents used in the text.
6. According to No 5, the type of anesthetic techniques might be influenced the outcome of the study. For example, sedation technique could produce higher adverse events than the general anesthesia technique.
7. Finally, the authors should to recommend



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

the readers to apply this knowledge into the routine clinical practice.