

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 20095

Title: CONFOCAL LASER ENDOMICROSCOPY: IS IT TIME TO MOVE ON?

Reviewer's code: 01928121

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-05-31 18:08

Date reviewed: 2015-06-05 17:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author has very well discussed the controversies in CFL endoscopy. But I would appreciated if following revisions could be made in the manuscript: 1. Abstract does not need references. Either the paragraphs under the heading of abstract are actually part of introduction or references are added in the abstract which needs to be revised. 2. It would be appreciated if the author could provide some insight into the tips and tricks of CLE based on his experience. 3. What does the author mean by the phrase 'Is it time to move on'? Discard CLE and move on to another investigation or start using CLE despite its limitations under specific circumstances?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 20095

Title: CONFOCAL LASER ENDOMICROSCOPY: IS IT TIME TO MOVE ON?

Reviewer's code: 00000478

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-05-31 18:08

Date reviewed: 2015-07-22 17:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article overviewed utility of confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE), which is new and emerging endoscopic technology that have an impact on the practice of gastrointestinal endoscopy. The weak point of this paper is lack of description in detail about the advantage of CLE. What is the potential merit of CLE other than routine biopsy? The author should also include specific number of sensitivity and specificity compared with conventional technics.