

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 25471

Title: Endoscopic management of sigmoid volvulus in children

Reviewer's code: 02954023

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-15 23:16

Date reviewed: 2016-03-18 21:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although this invited manuscript is mostly well-written, authors should concern below points. 1. The abstract should not duplicate the main text especially "Introduction" section. 2. The authors should place citations in sentences of "Operative details" section. 3. The subhead "Operative details" (Page 6) which means details of "endoscopic procedure" not "surgical procedure", is misleading title for readers. 4. To prevent excessive air insufflation, endoscopic procedure using water-immersion colonoscopy for sigmoid volvulus was reported in adults, suggesting safe, reasonable, conservative endoscopic approach. 5. The description of "Conflict-of-interest statement" differs in content. 6. The subhead "BACKGROUND" (Page 4) should be changed appropriately. 7. The only first occurrence of a term "sigmoid volvulus" should be spelt out in full and the abbreviation "SV" should be used in the rest of the article.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 25471

Title: Endoscopic management of sigmoid volvulus in children

Reviewer's code: 00041410

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-15 23:16

Date reviewed: 2016-03-19 15:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The present paper is a review, in which total 39 articles have been evaluated and 6 of them have been discussed widely. Do the authors have any experience on this subject? 2. Do all patients need nasogastric suction? If not, what are the contra-indications? 3. Although to determine the presence (or absence) of bowel gangrene is possible in most of cases, what must be done in patients in which bowel gangrene can not be shown in clinical and radiological examination, but gangrene is seen in endoscopic treatment (or examination)? 4. What can we do in patients in whom endoscopic examination shows borderline ischemia? (To answer is optional). 5. The authors can read 'The role of sigmoidoscopy in the diagnosis and treatment of sigmoid volvulus. Pak J Med Sci 32: 244-248, 2016.