



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 24800

Title: Effectiveness of clip-and-snare method using pre-looping technique for gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection

Reviewer's code: 00183658

Reviewer's country: Thailand

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-02-10 20:24

Date reviewed: 2016-02-14 17:21

Table with 4 columns: CLASSIFICATION, LANGUAGE EVALUATION, SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT, CONCLUSION. It contains checkboxes for various evaluation criteria like Grade A-E, polishing, and plagiarism.

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of clip-and-snare method by using pre-looping technique for gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection procedure. The title is "Effectiveness of clip-and-snare method using pre-looping technique for gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection". I have some questions. The authors should to clarify and add the following issues in the text. 1.

This study was a retrospective study. It was conducted at a tertiary care referral center. Thus, it could not apply in the community hospitals. 2. Several factors influence the outcome of the study. Some limitations might be occurred. 3. This procedure needed an experienced endoscopist. It could not apply for the general physicians. 4. Who were the endoscopists? Experienced or Non-experienced. 5. How many cases did the endoscopists perform this procedure? 6. How did the endoscopists select the patients in each group? 7. Generally, we know that adequate anesthesia influences the outcome and the successful completion of the procedure. The different anesthetic techniques may produce the different anesthetic outcomes. 8. The authors did not show the anesthetic management including anesthetic technique and drugs as well as the anesthesia-related



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

complications. 9. Please review the literature and add more details of this procedure. 10. Finally, the clinical application of the study is very important. The authors should to recommend the readers "How to apply this knowledge for routine clinical practice?".



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 24800

Title: Effectiveness of clip-and-snare method using pre-looping technique for gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection

Reviewer's code: 00504581

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-02-10 20:24

Date reviewed: 2016-02-22 04:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting and very well done case-control study which tries to evaluate a new additional method for shortening the time spent during ESD and for making it easier and safer. The design, the statistical analysis of the study are correct and also the written exposition, the tables and the figures material and methods part: There are some questions for the authors, that readers need to know and the authors should add to this part of the article was the ESD performed with the patients intubated or only with unconscious sedation? What were the setting of the electrical surgical unit used power devices used? will be necessary to change the patient position in any time (prone or supine position) in order to get better view of the ESD field ?