

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 24729

Title: Prior minimal endoscopic sphincterotomy to prevent pancreatitis related to endoscopic balloon sphincteroplasty

Reviewer's code: 02455208

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-02-13 10:14

Date reviewed: 2016-02-22 04:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There is no doubt that ERCP holds a principal role in managing bilio-pancreatic disorders and especially CBD stones. Authors, obviously from a high-volume center with expertise, are describing here their experience in order to combine the pros of EST and ESB, minimising the cons. It's an interesting, well-written article in fluent, clearly understandable english. It's also true that similar articles have also been published and that this study lacks the strength of RCT. However, this is a relatively new and promising approach, so new studies and results are still in demand

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 24729

Title: Prior minimal endoscopic sphincterotomy to prevent pancreatitis related to endoscopic balloon sphincteroplasty

Reviewer's code: 00503834

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-02-13 10:14

Date reviewed: 2016-02-25 23:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is about the efficacy of prior minimal endoscopic sphincterotomy (EST) to prevent pancreatitis related to endoscopic balloon sphincteroplasty (EBS). The authors concluded that prior minimal EST to EBS could decreased risk of ERCP post procedural pancreatitis. There are several concerns about this manuscrip. 1.ERCP, performed by trainee in this study, seemed to achieve a perfect results (pancreatitis in EST-EBS group). 2.pancreatitis is a common post-procedural complication of ERCP. We should pay more attention to it. So, the definition of ERCP related post-procedural pancreatitis would be more careful. Any abdominal pain, together with abnormally high serum amylase level after ECP should be regard as ERCP-induced pancreatitis. 4.Defined procedure-indcued pancreatitis when serum amylase 3 or 4 times above upper limit means a more higher criteria for pancreatisis resulting in beautification of data or study results. Thus, the effect of treatment may be overestimate. 5. EST with 120 watt energy is too high. In my experiences, I use 25 Watt to complete EST procedure in the past 31 more years. ERCP endoscopist could accomplished EST with low energy. 6.Pancreatitis related to barometric and/or electrical injury to pancreas. With



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

high energy in EST might induce high incidence of ERCP procedure pancreatitis. 7. ERCP performed by trainee with high electrocautery energy level but without any ERCP-related pancreatitis? perfect or miracle? 8. EST-EBS in ERCP is not innovative. 9. the comparison of EST-EBS, and EBS for pancreatitis by the method demonstrated in fig 2 is not adequate to evaluation. Therefore I dare not suggest accept this manuscript.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 24729

Title: Prior minimal endoscopic sphincterotomy to prevent pancreatitis related to endoscopic balloon sphincteroplasty

Reviewer's code: 02824224

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-02-13 10:14

Date reviewed: 2016-02-26 04:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is a correct assay comparing Prior Endoscopic Sphincterotomy (EST) to prevent pancreatitis related to endoscopic balloon sphincteroplasty (EBS). It presents a clear and nice english, very well written. On results it exposes that EST-EBS group presents stadistically significative better results than EBS alone. Discussion section is well structured exposing limitation of the study but it doesn't present results about bleeding or long term adverse events, important problems to talk about in this technique. A good review of this parts could produce an excellent article.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 24729

Title: Prior minimal endoscopic sphincterotomy to prevent pancreatitis related to endoscopic balloon sphincteroplasty

Reviewer's code: 02510223

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-02-13 10:14

Date reviewed: 2016-02-28 20:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this article, the authors found that the prior minimal EST might be useful to prevent the elevation of serum amylase level and the occurrence of pancreatitis. This new method would maybe reduce the risk of Post ERCP pancreatitis. This is a well-written paper containing interesting results which merit publication. I suggest this paper should be accepted.