

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 26806

Title: Balloon-assisted enteroscopy for suspected Meckel's diverticulum and indefinite diagnostic imaging workup

Reviewer's code: 00180958

Reviewer's country: Portugal

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-27 11:34

Date reviewed: 2016-05-12 06:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

An interesting paper, well written, dealing with a difficult clinical problem.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 26806

Title: Balloon-assisted enteroscopy for suspected Meckel's diverticulum and indefinite diagnostic imaging workup

Reviewer's code: 03362901

Reviewer's country: Australia

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-27 11:34

Date reviewed: 2016-05-16 11:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OR REVISION - Background line 5: Please clarify whether the "...palpable abnormal tissue.." is within the Meckel's diverticulum, or is it any solid mass anywhere in the abdomen? - Discussion paragraph 2 line 4: "SPECT/CT" is an extension to Tc-99m pertechnetate scanning, not a completely new technique. - Discussion paragraph 2 line 9: In cases of occult GI bleeding, the most common pathology is a vascular malformation. Most patients do NOT have a Meckel's diverticulum: in your series, they were only 4 cases out of the 114. - Discussion paragraph 5 line 3: I challenge your quoted minimum required bleeding rate for diagnostic mesenteric arteriography. Also please correct the units to "mL per min" - Discussion paragraph 9 line 3: So you advocate enteroscopy before Tc-99m pertechnetate scan (Meckel's scan) in all adults with suspected symptomatic Meckel's diverticulum. How do you justify this statement based on your 4 case reports? All of the 114 patients in your retrospective review have already had Tc-99m pertechnetate scans - which I acknowledge were negative. You have quoted that Meckel's scan has a sensitivity of 62.5%; so if your 114 patients (37.5% of a group) had negative scans, tell me what happened to the



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

other 182 patients (62.5% of the group) who had positive scans. TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS: - Please correct the multiple typographical errors throughout the manuscript. - I find most irksome the repeated wrong naming of Tc-99m pertechnetate as "Tc 99" or "TC 99".

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 26806

Title: Balloon-assisted enteroscopy for suspected Meckel's diverticulum and indefinite diagnostic imaging workup

Reviewer's code: 03546639

Reviewer's country: Romania

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-27 11:34

Date reviewed: 2016-04-27 16:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is clear and well-written. Just a small observation: on p2, last paragraph you mention repetitively "the negative 99mTc scintigraphy" as indication for balloon-enteroscopy.