

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 18984

**Title:** Microvasculature of the esophagus and gastroesophageal junction: Lesson learned from submucosal endoscopy

**Reviewer's code:** 00160226

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-05 16:46

**Date reviewed:** 2015-09-11 08:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors performed a review on the microvasculature of the esophagus and GEJ. However, I have a number of major queries to the authors Major 1) The authors presented this paper as a review, however the section on findings of the SM endoscopy appeared to be original data without any references. Can the authors clarify? 2) If the interpretation of SM endoscopy is from the authors experience, then this should be quantified and clarified appropriately. Eg are different types of vasculature found in all patients? 3) How could the interpretation in SM endoscopy help the endoscopist in performing STER or POEM? Minor 1) Where is the abstract? 2) The paper needs grammar editing 3) THE sections on advanced diagnostic endoscopy: NBI, OGD and endocytoscopy is a bit out of the scope of the paper. Consider removing from the manuscript

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 18984

**Title:** Microvasculature of the esophagus and gastroesophageal junction: Lesson learned from submucosal endoscopy

**Reviewer's code:** 02549348

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-05 16:46

**Date reviewed:** 2015-10-04 21:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is an interesting review on the anatomical patterns of esophageal vascularization as it is and as its appearance is confirmed by modern endoscopic technologies and techniques. Nevertheless, before final acceptance for publication Authors should revise their manuscript according to the following comment: there is no in-depth analysis of the anatomical changes of esophageal mucosal vascularization in early esophageal cancer and this lack is limiting the strength of the manuscript and the usefulness to world journal of gastroenterology readers