

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 26207

Title: Endoscopic evaluation in diagnosis and management of inflammatory bowel disease

Reviewer's code: 00036225

Reviewer's country: Austria

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-05 16:57

Date reviewed: 2016-04-26 23:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good review on the role of endoscopy in the management of patients with IBD. Some aspects, however, require improvement (see below). 1. The role of small bowel examination for initial staging is underscored and there are inconsistencies. In Introduction the authors write "...small bowel investigations are mandated where there exists a strong clinical suspicion of proximal disease..." This is not consistent with what the authors write in "Capsule Endoscopy": "When CD is diagnosed...it is recommended to assess the extent of small bowel disease" Current ECCO-Guidelines say "Irrespective of the findings at ileocolonoscopy, further investigation is recommended to examine the location and extent of any CD in the upper gastrointestinal tract or small bowel" 2. Concerning CRC surveillance readers could be misled to overinterpret what is written and limit surveillance to "high risk patients". In the Introduction authors write "surveillance is imperative in patients with longstanding colonic IBD" (without commenting on disease limited to the rectum). In Conclusion the authors write: "Guidelines increasingly (should be increasingly) recognize the need of target surveillance(e.g. patients with PSC). Current ECCO Guidelines say:" Ongoing

surveillance should be performed in all patients apart from those with proctitis or Crohn's colitis involving only one segment of colorectum [EL4][Voting results: 100% agreement]." 3. The role of Capsule endoscopy is overrrated Authors write : "VCE is useful in the management of patients with known or suspected....." Instead of "is useful" the weaker wording "can be useful" appears more appropriate. The authors should be more specific on (the rather limited) practical and recommended use of VCI in IBD. 4. Mucosal healing (fist sentence): "Clinical and endoscopic remission correlate poorly." the authors should add "especially in Crohns disease" or something similar - because in CD the correlation is much better. 5. Tabel 1 is quite sparse there are numerous additional mimics of IBD including amebiosis, Campylobacter infection, and others. The authors should add important mimics to the table. 6. The authors should more specifically reflect to what extent and in which clinical situations novel procedures are widely recommended and used.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 26207

Title: Endoscopic evaluation in diagnosis and management of inflammatory bowel disease

Reviewer's code: 00012309

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-05 16:57

Date reviewed: 2016-05-12 21:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A meticulous and exhaustive work; I have no major comments

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

ESPS manuscript NO: 26207

Title: Endoscopic evaluation in diagnosis and management of inflammatory bowel disease

Reviewer's code: 03477763

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-05 16:57

Date reviewed: 2016-05-15 01:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments To Authors, I studied manuscript named Endoscopic Evaluation in Diagnosis and Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. The manuscript is written in plain language, understandable, a clear purpose. Duo to this research, I congratulate you . Your Sincerely,