

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19210

**Title:** New era of colorectal cancer screening

**Reviewer's code:** 00069471

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Jing Yu

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-07 17:51

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-28 23:24

| CLASSIFICATION   | LANGUAGE EVALUATION  | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION  |
|--|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing     | Google Search:                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing           | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor                 |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                           |
|  |  | BPG Search:                                    |   |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |   |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |   |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |   |
|  |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         |   |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written review manuscript about CRC screening. This article covers a wide range of CRC screening modalities from barium enema to CTC. I have one comment. This article has 'pathogenesis' section and 'risk factors' section, but are they really necessary? I think these two sections do not much play an important role.