

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 22061

**Title:** Endoscopic mucosal resection of colorectal adenomas > 20 mm: Risk factors for recurrence

**Reviewer's code:** 02551224

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-08-17 10:33

**Date reviewed:** 2015-08-28 17:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for
<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

For authors I have only two observations: histology of the lesions should be better defined, if possible; moreover, it would be interesting to know the destiny of patients with recurrence. I understand that histologic examinations after peacemeal excision of the lesions may be some times inaccurate, but it would be important to know if the asportation was complete or if there was base infiltration in cases showing recurrence.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 22061

**Title:** Endoscopic mucosal resection of colorectal adenomas > 20 mm: Risk factors for recurrence

**Reviewer's code:** 00041963

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-08-17 10:33

**Date reviewed:** 2015-08-30 22:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The size of the colorectal lesion should define > 20 or > 30 mm in the title. 2. EMR (abbrv) should not use in abstract section. 3. Last sentence in material and method section which starts Mrs Jennifer Nadal.... should remove to Acknowledgements section. 4. In results section, in first sentence am median size ... The "am" should correct. 5. Table 1 could be omit and can be mention in results section. 6. In table 3: for p value column, the authors should mention which statistical test was used to calculate in the bottom of the table. 7. In table 5: row 5 could be omit.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 22061

**Title:** Endoscopic mucosal resection of colorectal adenomas > 20 mm: Risk factors for recurrence

**Reviewer's code:** 02444931

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-08-17 10:33

**Date reviewed:** 2015-09-07 13:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Main concerns: 1. How to explain the factors age, morphology, resection technique were not significant in multivariate analysis while these were significant in univariate analysis. 2. Authors should tell the possible difference in adenoma characteristics between right-sided and left-sided owing to that there was significant difference in recurrence. 3. The range of follow-up interval varied too long. The recurrence rate is associated with the follow-up months? 4. Authors need to tell the recurrent adenoma characteristics in morphology whether was similar to the previous one.