

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 49624

Title: Transmission of cryptococcosis by liver transplantation: a case report

Reviewer's code: 00071705

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-12-20 05:51

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-24 21:45

Review time: 4 Days and 15 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

The Authors presented a rare and challenging case that was successfully managed. The introduction section of the manuscript should be shorter. In my opinion, some parts of the introduction should be ignored and some parts of them should be transferred to the discussion section. The discussion section of the manuscript should be rearranged proper to the general discussion format. There are too many references for a case report, they should be lowered. Finally, this manuscript is acceptable with revision. Best regards,

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 49624

Title: Transmission of cryptococcosis by liver transplantation: a case report

Reviewer's code: 03253490

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-18

Reviewer chosen by: Ruo-Yu Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-03 09:07

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-03 09:57

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Ferreria et al. presented a case report about the transmission of cryptococcosis by liver transplantation. The article is very long. Some issues raised; 1-Introduction part should be shorter. 2-The discussion part should include citations to the other case reports of cryptococcosis after liver transplantation or after other solid organ transplantations. 3-If possible, would you give more information about the cranial/liver MRI/CT results of the patient before the transplantation? Thank you for giving opportunity to review your case report.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 49624

Title: Transmission of cryptococcosis by liver transplantation: a case report

Reviewer's code: 02439193

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-18

Reviewer chosen by: Ruo-Yu Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-05 10:37

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-12 22:23

Review time: 7 Days and 11 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case report is well structured with a clear aim, the abstract is informative, summarizes and reflects the work. The figures are sufficient, of good quality and appropriately illustrative of the report contents. Some revisions are needed. In the background there is repetition of some paragraphs and ideas, please merge and avoid repetition as in the affection in cirrhotics and the CNS infection. Background needs to be shorter. Authors must mention if there is any CNS symptoms or signs in their patient. They also need to clarify the exact duration of maintenance therapy used in their case. The conclusion is not concisely written and not coherently organized.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 49624

Title: Transmission of cryptococcosis by liver transplantation: a case report

Reviewer's code: 05117991

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-18

Reviewer chosen by: Ruo-Yu Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-03 04:07

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-15 07:58

Review time: 12 Days and 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very detailed and informative report on a very important subject, infectious disease transmission from donors to recipients. I enjoyed reading the article and think it would be very beneficial to publish it. However, few issues to be addressed; 1-"A liver biopsy was obtained and investigated by histological analysis carried out by Dr. Evandro Sobroza de Mello, an expert in liver pathologies." In this sentence used within the manuscript, the doctors initials should be mentioned in parenthesis. If needs to acknowledged, should be done so in the proper section and not within the text. 2- Was the patient in sepsis? Only the admission temperature is provided in the manuscript, I wish to know more about the vitals and was the admission to the ward or ICU? Did the patient`s management required him to be transferred to the ICU? 3- The Introduction is pretty long, i would suggest shortening it before publishing. Thank you for the manuscript and your best efforts in managing this difficult case. I hope to see more research from this experienced group in the future. Kind Regards,

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 49624

Title: Transmission of cryptococcosis by liver transplantation: a case report

Reviewer's code: 00070577

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-18

Reviewer chosen by: Ruo-Yu Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-03 02:58

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-18 06:20

Review time: 15 Days and 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reported the case of transmission of cryptococcosis by liver transplantation. The story is interesting, but several weak points are existing. 1) The introduction is too long. 2) There is weak evidence of transmission of cryptococcus. Please show more evidence by figure or other data. Is there some evidence that this donor had this type of cryptococcus or this recipient did not have the cryptococcus? 3) Discussion and conclusions are too short compared to the introduction.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No