# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Hepatology*

**Manuscript NO:** 64512

**Title:** Promising diagnostic biomarkers of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: from clinical proteomics to microbiome

**Reviewer's code:** 02441106

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** Mexico

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-02-24

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-02-26 06:31

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-03-11 21:17

**Review time:** 13 Days and 14 Hours

| Scientific quality | [  ] Grade A: Excellent    [ Y] Grade B: Very good    [  ] Grade C: Good  [  ] Grade D: Fair    [  ] Grade E: Do not publish |
|---|---|
| Language quality | [  ] Grade A: Priority publishing    [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing  [  ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing    [  ] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [  ] Accept (High priority)    [  ] Accept (General priority)  [  ] Minor revision    [ Y] Major revision    [  ] Rejection |
| Re-review | [ Y] Yes    [  ] No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [  ] Anonymous    [ Y] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [  ] Yes    [ Y] No |

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a good overview, but the first problem is that you cannot have a one-page long conclusions section. This part can be moves to discussions and have only short conclusions. Please rewrite this part. Other aspects -page 3 – NAFLD …that 59% progress to NASH. Reference? -page 5 – Povero et al… healthy controls with histologically confirmed NASH – delete healthy -page 16 – NAFLD and NASH (not NAS) Revise the discussion section to put together all information presented and give short conclusions. This will give quality to the paper.